

I'm just getting tired of calling you arrogant Barry
Colin sent Yesterday at 1:59 AM
There's got to be a better word like deficiency due to smartness
Colin sent Yesterday at 1:59 AM
Overconfidence based on self-importance desire

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 2:00 AM

Are you in search of yet another attention-arresting label?

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:01 AM

Or maybe you're just criticizing everything you don't understand instead of asking questions. You really don't seem to understand or want to and that's fair but please f*** off if that's the case/ I think Richard Dawkins said if you don't find Science interesting then please f*** off

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:02 AM

And I mean asking questions about the topic not questions about what you think the topic is professor

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 2:03 AM

What is the name of whatever it is that which are talking about?

Sam replied to Joel

Yesterday at 2:03 AM. Original message:

exactly... arrogance you cant read the evidence that is surrounding you ...

Reply by Sam:

to me, it's a form of arrogance and condescension to claim "evidence surrounds you in every moment of every day" and not be able to examine that evidence that's a "trust me, I know more than you" statement

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:03 AM

I think you have a running narrative in your head that overwrites other people's interest and you don't care

Joel replied to participant

Yesterday at 2:04 AM. Original message:

I'm just getting tired of calling you arrogant Barry

Reply by Joel:

Pot calling the kettle black

Sam replied to Stacey

Yesterday at 2:04 AM. Original message:

@Colin Kilburn its sometimes difficult to talk or resolve things with yo...

Reply by Sam:

and a question: can we live with it? (ie can we coexist with it?)

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 2:04 AM

Are you seeking a clinical name for "having a running narrative in one's head?"

Joel

Joel sent Yesterday at 2:04 AM

isnt that ideology?

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:05 AM

Everybody has their own impression Joel but it would just be so much easier if people would take emotion out of it and just State their claim and show the evidence. s*** or get off the pot/

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:05 AM

One interesting thing in global conversations is you wonder if analogies are local or widespread

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 2:05 AM

If you take emotion out of a learning process, then you have a process that recurses indefinitely with zero net learning over time.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:06 AM

You're such an ass Berry

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:06 AM

The drama are you that daft you have me frothing with drama because you're an a***** and I should know better than to respond to you because as Stacy said you probably won't change unless you want to

Sam replied to participant

Yesterday at 2:06 AM. Original message:

Barry is too lazy for that and Sam says it's too time consuming with the...

Reply by Sam:

uh... this is quoting me out of context

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:07 AM

The only thing I don't know Barry is if you like to provoke people which is what Jazz admits to

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:07 AM

I usually fall to my lowest common denominator after being frustrated and will resort to mockery and comedy

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 2:07 AM

I have occasionally been accused of making people think.



1

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:08 AM

About turning the lights off and pretending you're not home

Colin sent Yesterday at 2:08 AM

See comedy

Sam replied to Glenn

Yesterday at 2:10 AM. Original message:

If you say the only evidence possible for them is to WALK OUT OF THE CAV...

Reply by Sam:

It's not just the "woo" that might motivate me to "walk out of the cave" as I mentioned above. The key reason would be that I see the "returning" person live a qualitatively exceptional life, unexplainable by only shadows on walls. I look for those people who are living and experiencing exceptional lives.

Sam replied to participant

Yesterday at 2:15 AM. Original message:

And yes I do have respect for Barry just not in personal relations with ...

Reply by Sam:

imho there can be a curiosity and tenaciousness that I can attribute to something other than arrogance

Yesterday at 6:14 AM

Mon 6:14 AM

You sent Yesterday at 6:14 AM

Some react oddly to the suggesting of attention seeking. hmhhh

Someone replied to Sam

Yesterday at 6:18 AM. Original message:

imho there can be a curiosity and tenaciousness that I can attribute to...

Reply by Colin:

Maybe you're not noticing the same tone from your end of the receiving line.

Stacey replied to participant

Yesterday at 7:03 AM. Original message:

Sorry if this is not the woo people are talking about then there is no d...

Reply by Stacey:

Didn't I say that all that was necessary is that you leave open the possibility? You can move on from there if neither person expects the other to stretch too far.

Stacey replied to participant

Yesterday at 7:05 AM. Original message:

The drama are you that daft you have me frothing with drama because you'...

Reply by Stacey:

My comment was general, not directed towards Barry or anyone else. People don't change unless they want to.



2

You sent Yesterday at 7:09 AM

Until they want to

You sent Yesterday at 7:09 AM

Yes and

Someone replied to Stacey

Yesterday at 7:49 AM. Original message:

Didn't I say that all that was necessary is that you leave open the poss...

Reply by Colin:

Well when you say leave open the possibility you have to say leave open the possibility to what specifically

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 7:50 AM

I don't think anything is possible so you have to be specific

Colin sent Yesterday at 7:50 AM

If you mean open to there being a soul you have to define soul

Barry replied to Stacey

Yesterday at 8:15 AM. Original message:

Before you move on to the personal insults, @Barry Kort did you truly be...

Reply by Barry:

Colin consented to my unalienable *right* even though he *preferred* that I not exercise my unalienable right (to tell the true story of my own life).

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 8:24 AM. Original message:

Shall I post you some pictures of my sex Barry or is that too private fo...

Reply by Barry:

If you had been the perpetrator of an act of sexual assault against me, then I would welcome the publication of photographs of such an act of sexual assault, so as to provide the raw evidence of what happened against my will. Given that I published our PM against your will, you can prove that I did so by pointing others to that very publication, so as to provide evidence of that publication. The publication of that PM is an element of the true story of your life, and we both agree that you have an unalienable right to tell the true story of your own life, backed up by evidence that anyone can observe and confirm with their own eyes.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

Don't know what you're talking about drama Barry

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

If you want to resolve anything then get Stacy to do a three-way because I'm done trying

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

=

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

If you don't know what privacy means then never mind

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

Listen learn is don't play with Barry cuz he doesn't play fair he's got his own set of rules his own ball and he's an a*****

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 8:27 AM

Doesn't mean he's not useful just means I don't trust him

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 8:44 AM

«Barry you did ask me if you could publish it I did say no.» Correct. And then quite some time later, you voluntarily disclosed where it resided so that everybody else could find it. This is like an unlisted video on YouTube — it can only be found if someone discloses the otherwise unlisted and unadvertised URL. Ask yourself this: Is an unlisted video on YT "published"? The URL is functionally equivalent to a password. No one knew that the item existed and no one knew the URL/Password to access it. But you conscientiously did the research to crack the URL/Password and then you voluntarily disclosed it to the public *after* you understood that whatever you posted thereafter was available for open publication. It is well known that a PM that is filled with verbal abuse is not protected by any claims of privacy or confidentiality. If someone wishes to assault me with verbal abuse, it doesn't mean that they can do so in "private" and then claim that it's a violation of privacy for me to disclose the demonstrably provable fact of having been verbally abused or assaulted or threatened, and at the same time supplying the raw evidence — the "video tape" — to back up the allegation.

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 8:46 AM

« I'll never tell you anything in the future.» Dear God, let that be a promise that Colin will religiously keep forever and ever.



1

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 8:51 AM. Original message:

Doesn't mean we can't be friendly and work together though in a respectf...

Reply by Barry:

Actors in a drama adopt roles of Protagonist and Antagonist respectively. Within the drama, they are adversaries. But in real life, they are cooperating to co-create a drama. Actors may or may not be best buddies in real life. There are examples of actors who cooperate to co-create a drama who don't particularly like each other in real life. There are lots of "transactional relationships" among individuals who are rivals or competitors. It happens a lot within nuclear families.

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 8:54 AM. Original message:

I wonder if Barry writes in his blogs about how he lets people down

Reply by Barry:

Do a little more research and you will find examples like this one ...

<http://moultonlava.blogspot.com/2011/07/banned-in-land-of-sun.html>

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 8:56 AM

Or better yet, this entire songbook. <https://sites.google.com/site/barrykort/home/alison-cassidy-song-book>

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 8:57 AM. Original message:

I mean rules like driving on an agreed side of the road not rules like k...

Reply by Barry:

Not rules like, "Don't kill my misconceptions?"

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:03 AM. Original message:

It is possible to change my mind with the thing called evidence or at le...

Reply by Barry:

That hypothesis is not only testable, it's already been tested. I provided the evidence (that Colin clearly preferred to keep in camera). But the film was already in the camera and Colin elected to direct public attention to the video tape. He was the only person who knew where the video tape could be found, and he demonstrated his prowess at finding it by telling the world where it was hidden.

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:08 AM. Original message:

And if you want me to believe something you have to show me sorry.

Reply by Barry:

I want you to believe that everyone (me and you included) has an unalienable right to tell the true story of their own life, backed up with evidence that anyone can see with their own eyes.

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:19 AM. Original message:

Are you experiencing resistant learners Barry? Did they even sign up fo...

Reply by Barry:

I encounter a few from time to time. Resistant Learners are a fascinating and intriguing lot, representing anomalies far out in the tails of the Pareto Distribution.

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:22 AM

The Tao that can be spoken of is not the true Tao. Likewise, the Woo that can be spoken of is not the true Woo. Illustration: "WooHoo" by Esteban Trev

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

All I can say is the experience is like stepping in s***

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

Hard to get the stink off

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

To quote Doug today... So.

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

Woo and flatterthers and fundamentalists exist whether you like it or not.

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

And by no means am I making a dichotomy each case stands alone

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:30 AM. Original message:

Well when you say leave open the possibility you have to say leave open ...

Reply by Barry:

I can't speak for Stacey, but I like to leave open the possibility of discovery learning. That's one reason I tend to hang around frontiers where I find myself fascinated, intrigued, puzzled, perplexed, and mystified.



1

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:30 AM

But again James Randy made a career out of following up on people who claim to make woo work.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:33 AM

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:34 AM

The thumb is right beside the microphone if anybody's wondering 90% of my thumbs are just mis thumbs



1

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:34 AM

And I now vote to move any conversation about soul supernatural anti-science to another thread



1

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:34 AM

A burn raising sub thread number one

Stacey replied to Barry

Yesterday at 9:39 AM. Original message:

I can't speak for Stacey, but I like to leave open the possibility of di...

Reply by Stacey:

Yes, in this case you speak for me as well.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:39 AM

I don't know how many times I could be misunderstood but it's not about leaving open possibilities for discovery or not it's about evidence for hypothesis within that discovery

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:45 AM

It's hard to have empathy for someone whose affective emotional states are nigh impossible to discern. Nonetheless, having said that, I can't help but wonder if Colin feels that the "heavy-weight thinkers" here are "piling on."

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

Not at all I've watched the best try to apologize for their beliefs.

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

But again Barry you don't really believe in a biblical God just the biblical stories

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

And the value of history.

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

And I think you ducked Sam's question about etymology relevance today when it comes to supernatural things

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

Please pile to new thread

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:47 AM

Then I remain, as before, retaining the Null Model as my best Theory of Mind of my erstwhile antagonist in this everlasting shreklich drama.

Barry replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:49 AM. Original message:

But again Barry you don't really believe in a biblical God just the bibl...

Reply by Barry:

Colin, do you believe you have a physical body?

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:49 AM

Why do you ask Plato

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:50 AM

Because I wish to discover if you believe you have a physical body.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:52 AM

Actually no I don't have a physical body I exist a.s invisible light



1

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:52 AM

New thread please

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:52 AM

Sarcasm ...

Stacey replied to participant

Yesterday at 9:52 AM. Original message:

Sarcasm ...

Reply by Stacey:

has no place in a learning environment 😊

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:53 AM

Earlier, I had noticed an emerging pattern, corresponding to individuals who are "allergic" to the Maieutic Method of Socrates, who decline to participate in any conversation featuring that method of discovery learning.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:53 AM

And some people just assume they can take role of Plato and steamroll into a conversation

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 9:54 AM

"Maieutic" definition please.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:54 AM

Although Barry doesn't want a conversation he wants a lecture

Sam

Sam sent Yesterday at 9:54 AM

I thought there's now a new FB Msgr place for continuation...?? 😊 Can this be taken there?

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:55 AM

Stacey, as I recall you had some thoughts about the utility of the Maieutic Method of Socrates.

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:55 AM

Shall we toddle off to the Random Banter venue?

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:56 AM

Heinrich is playing in the same messy world that I am that Barry can't handle due to the unmathematical nature of humans. And if Barry wants to create math for emotions then that is interesting but at the same time it's just another model

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:56 AM

Heiner isn't..

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:56 AM

Is

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:56 AM

May we negotiate an agreement to evacuate to the Random Banter venue?

Stacey replied to Barry

Yesterday at 9:56 AM. Original message:

Stacey, as I recall you had some thoughts about the utility of the Maieu...

Reply by Stacey:

Yes, I'm attempting it now on a page with Trump supporters. They don't want to answer 😊

Ping me wherever you continue.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:58 AM

No

Colin sent Yesterday at 9:58 AM

Random banter is great. But Specific discussions can be in a new thread. not the announcement channel. Simple workplace logic



1

Yesterday at 11:35 AM

Mon 11:35 AM

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:35 AM

Third unsuccessful attempt at a conversation with Barry. The conversation adapter stopped him for taking over the premise to his lecture.

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:35 AM

<https://www.facebook.com/messages/t/3671496602886646/>

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 11:37 AM

Link doesnt work.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:37 AM

it spiraled to be an investigation about me ... The thread is for resolving the wild claims Specific claims against science or claims into the unknown. Maybe a hypothesis can be formulated, if not it is a dead end.

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 11:39 AM

Did I give you my permission to share the link? (Just kidding) I think you are mischaracterizing the thread.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:39 AM

Sure.

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:39 AM

I don't think I am.

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 11:40 AM

Well then figure out how to get the link to work, and people can decide for themselves.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:41 AM

Barry started right into the socratic methid and a varation of a Sam tactic he used on Joel today. Unhelpful and a distraction.

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:41 AM

Socratic method

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:41 AM

I enen answered his question with context. He was not happy with that?

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 11:42 AM

The socratic method, imho, is likely the best chance we have in order to come to agreements.
Stacey sent Yesterday at 11:42 AM
Im never happy either, when someone gives me a long answer to a yes or no question. One question at a time.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:43 AM

Maybe ... we we not trying to agree. I wanted to know his claim or definition of some terms. I am willing to answer. Totally irrelevant if I have a body ... wtf? Then he tried to make a parody with Stacey's help. Sad.
Colin sent Yesterday at 11:43 AM
my answer was short.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 11:44 AM

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 11:59 AM

I understand the link Colin posted doesn't work. Try this one and let me know if it works ...
<http://hnc.musenet.info/~bkort/Science.And.The.Unknown.html>

Stacey

Stacey sent Yesterday at 12:00 PM

Yes, I can see it now.


1

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:23 PM

I just changed the title, and the link works for me

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:23 PM

<https://www.facebook.com/messages/t/3671496602886646/>

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:23 PM

This is to filter the conversation to a place they can be continued without distracting others. We can post the results, and share the link. I will be attempting to use conversation adapting ... keeping things fair(er)


1

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:27 PM

No man handing or straw manning. It is important to stick to the conversation (discussion) premise. Strait forward inquiry about the topic. No talky game formats unless consented to by interlocuters. 😊 Let's see if this can help people feel heard with out distracting too many and going in circles during "prime time"

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

Just an idea.

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

kinda like, take your funky claims discussion somewhere else to be hashed out. It is all about the consent of the group and being heard without making a showtime mess.

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

sound reasonable? foster sprouts and pull weeds.

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

Hopefull not debates but calm q/a and elation

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

the topics that started this is understanding the "spiritual" claims. Define God, spirituality, and soul Woo is allowed to try too but mostly futile in my experience. Show the value !!!

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:35 PM

How to not be dissapointed with a belief.

You sent Yesterday at 12:36 PM

Everything you'd want to teach a child about literature is there in Of Mice and Men. Symbolism, structure, pathos, characterisation, imagery: it's got it all. More than that, the themes of hope, friendship, loyalty and vulnerability speak to students and their very modern concerns.

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:37 PM

A loat of good literature, couched in is time (era)

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:37 PM

a lot of ..

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:38 PM

Of Mice and Men is a novella written by John Steinbeck.[1][2] Published in 1937, it narrates the experiences of George Milton and Lennie Small, two displaced migrant ranch workers, who move from place to place in California in search of new job opportunities during the Great Depression in the United States. Steinbeck based the novella on his own experiences working alongside migrant farm workers as a teenager in the 1910s (before the arrival of the Okies that he would describe in The Grapes of Wrath). The title is taken from Robert Burns'

poem "To a Mouse", which reads: "The best laid schemes o' mice an' men / Gang aft agley". (The best laid schemes of mice and men / Often go awry.) While it is a book taught in many schools,[3] Of Mice and Men has been a frequent target of censors for vulgarity, and what some consider offensive and racist language; consequently, it appears on the American Library Association's list of the Most Challenged Books of 21st Century.[4]

You sent Yesterday at 12:39 PM

The Grapes of Wrath also by John Steinbeck

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:40 PM

Alex are you in the Banter chat?
Colin sent Yesterday at 12:40 PM
this feels like good banter

You sent Yesterday at 12:41 PM

oh

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:43 PM

the idea is to leave this chat for announcements and foundation laying. focus .
Colin sent Yesterday at 12:43 PM
companies do this to allow employees (memners) a social free for all banter chat.
Colin sent Yesterday at 12:43 PM
members
Colin sent Yesterday at 12:43 PM
for poems like this ..

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:43 PM

Colin unsend a message

Colin

Colin sent Yesterday at 12:44 PM

sent to banter 😊
Colin sent Yesterday at 12:44 PM
it is a judgment call and not a strict thing ... we can adapt

Yesterday at 9:55 PM

Mon 9:55 PM

Barry

Barry sent Yesterday at 9:55 PM

Observation: The Maieutic Method of Socrates can be deftly defeated by the Masterful Method of Tergiversation.

Thys replied to Stacey

Yesterday at 10:30 PM. Original message:

"Maieutic" definition please.

Reply by Thys:

from a dictionary somewhere on the web: >>> maieutic [mey-yoo-tik]SHOW IPA adjective of or relating to the method used by Socrates of eliciting knowledge in the mind of a person by interrogation and insistence on close and logical reasoning. <<<<



1

Doug

Doug sent Yesterday at 10:34 PM

Today at 12:06 AM

12:06 AM

Barry

Barry sent Today at 12:06 AM

Maieutic is a Greek word that means Midwife. A Midwife attends the birth, but is not the cause of the birth.

Heiner

Heiner sent Today at 12:07 AM

Colin

Colin sent Today at 12:09 AM

Colin

Colin sent Today at 12:10 AM

Birth Butler

Barry

Barry sent Today at 12:48 AM

The function of the Maieutic Method of Socrates is to "Midwife the Epiphany."

Barry

Barry sent Today at 12:48 AM

Colin

Colin sent Today at 12:54 AM

A very important thing although I'm glad all conversation work does not require a midwife, many conversations can be straightforward like a hospital birth. Not everything's a nail but when it is you got the hammer

Colin sent Today at 12:54 AM

As well the Socratic method has an element of power over that is not conducive to open conversation. Adapters

Barry

Barry sent Today at 1:02 AM

The Maieutic Method of Socrates has no power over; it has the power of facilitating the discovery and revelation of the ground truth by identifying the gaps in one's mental model and weeding out the previously undiagnosed misconceptions.

Colin

Colin sent Today at 1:06 AM

It has the power over the controlling direction of the conversation flow as well as the amount of time and pace of the conversation

Colin sent Today at 1:06 AM

And can be a very enjoyable experience if consented to within context that has been established in a known premise between interlocutors

Colin sent Today at 1:06 AM

Your Socrates is a little more of an autopilot free association machine but I do know you have a point to make and I wish you would just make it without being so cosplay

Colin sent Today at 1:06 AM

I can't really fault you alone because it is up to both people to understand what the conversation is about before they start hammering away

Colin sent Today at 1:06 AM

And again this feels like a private conversation but there is no private conversation with Barry

Barry

Barry sent Today at 1:11 AM

The point of the Maieutic Method of Socrates is to midwife the birth of the "baby" who is waiting to be born.

Sam

Sam sent Today at 1:11 AM

Barry, Colin: I request that you 2 carry on in your (Anti) Science... or the "Social & Random Banter" group. Is this an unreasonable request? If there then come concise summaries of topics you'd like others (me?) to engage with, then I (and I suspect others) would do so. But this way, there's just too much (for me) to follow, and imho has taken this group AWAY from being a place for BR Regulars (not just 2 people), and has made it a stage for 2 people. Any announcements that get sent here, would likely get lost because it would've scrolled past long ago by the time other recipients check this group. Is this an unreasonable request?

Thanks - Sam



1

Barry

Barry sent Today at 1:14 AM

«If there then come concise summaries.» A concise summary came overnight, and I posted it here at 6:55 AM EST.

Colin

Colin sent Today at 1:17 AM

Better Tooling

Colin sent Today at 1:17 AM

«If there then come concise summaries.» A concise summary came overnight, and I posted it here at 6:55 AM EST.

Colin sent Today at 1:17 AM



Colin sent Today at 1:17 AM

See you in banter Bifurcation



1

Colin sent Today at 1:17 AM

And may I say hallelujah

Today at 7:44 AM

7:44 AM

You sent Today at 7:44 AM

<https://garynull.com/wikipedias-culture-of-character-assassination-the-case-of-dr-dean-radin/>

You sent Today at 7:47 AM

If Barry already posted this Sorry.



1

Barry

Barry sent Today at 7:51 AM

I've posted about the phenomenon of Character Assassination, but I was not familiar with that particular case/



1

Colin

Colin sent Today at 8:05 AM

I don't think character assassination is ever appropriate when you're dealing with ideas unless the person isn't dealing with the ideas

Colin sent Today at 8:05 AM

I mean academic ideas not ideas of say terrorism or charlotteism

You sent Today at 8:20 AM

Yes indeed colin I am waiting for an email from Amazon so I read 9 surprising psychology studies that will change the way you think about thinking

You sent Today at 8:22 AM

I often notice subtle forms of bullying in the forums and networks conversations, it's something that needs to be healed with wisdom and organic spirituality

Stacey replied to you

Today at 8:37 AM. Original message:

I often notice subtle forms of bullying in the forums and networks conve...

Reply by Stacey:

Without bullying the bully.

You replied to Stacey

Today at 8:47 AM. Original message:

Without bullying the bully.

Your reply:

Yes of coarse, that would make you the bully



1

Stacey replied to you

Today at 9:04 AM. Original message:

Yes of coarse, that would make you the bully

Reply by Stacey:

Usually one sees themselves as more "defender" than a bully as well.



1

You sent Today at 9:09 AM

Indeed, valiant defenders of the right to post opinion even if it's misguided deception in the observers mind and heart space.

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:24 AM

I think the subtle bullying is more of a personal thing than a knowledge thing. Passive aggressive and public shaming. Over controlling parents are a good example. And I don't need a parent child relationship with the GCC. I don't need to be told that everybody's trying to teach me about woo and geometric existence. It is ok to challenge bad ideas. It's the way you challenge. At the GCC it's an extra layer as more people are involved and you have to check consent of the group. That's why I made a smaller thread but apparently Barry didn't consent to answer my questions but he forcefully control the format of the discussion. Sorry people are getting fed up when it gets difficult

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:28 AM

If Stacy's right and Barry's true intention is to help he sure has taken a funny approach by manipulating simple questions like define your claim. when he finally admitted he didn't have a claim I said then good we're done. But noooooo it was still his lecture Socrates time. This really isn't that hard to figure out and it comes down to being friendly and having good intentions. I also didn't appreciate Jazz's provoking which he admitted to but would have been much more appreciated in banter as opposed to the main show. But to me asking Barry for information is a trap set to waste your time and energy.

Barry replied to themselves

Today at 9:28 AM. Original message:

I've posted about the phenomenon of Character Assassination, but I was n...

Reply by Barry:

Put this into a Google search: "Bildungsroman in the Age of Character Assassination"



1

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:32 AM

I'm done reading your blogs Barry for now

Colin sent Today at 9:32 AM

Too bad I was going to read the DSM-5 one but that's not going to happen now.

Colin sent Today at 9:32 AM

and I think I'm referring to your tactics Barry which may or may not relate to your character but I'm pretty sure there's correlation

Colin sent Today at 9:32 AM

Sorry I thought I was in unblocking..

Colin sent Today at 9:32 AM

This s*** is a block not a a barn event

Sam

Sam sent Today at 9:32 AM

Is this a barn-raising topic? if so, please formulate it. If it's still the Barry-Colin "show", ...



1

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:33 AM

Sorry Sam. In my mind very polluted the science thread, so now it's an unblocking issue.

Colin sent Today at 9:33 AM

If things work out Stacy can moderate some kind of a meeting of the minds because there's no way in hell I can get his attention to focus



1

You sent Today at 9:33 AM

the Barry-Colin "show" new room wanted



1

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:35 AM

Much better things to do... I'm still holding out that there is genuine concern inside Berry somewhere. That's for Stacy to explore.



1

You sent Today at 9:35 AM

Heres my 2 cents, if you can't say something nice about a member of the tribe then Keep it for PM1 On 1 conversation

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:36 AM

You're so right Alex but some people just stick their necks out as you remember you were in that position not so long ago

You sent Today at 9:37 AM

I was, and I proved that you can get along

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:37 AM

And you wouldn't meet for a one-on-one

Colin sent Today at 9:37 AM

Although I still appreciate your contributions I have little to do with trying to reach out to you in private ever again

You sent Today at 9:37 AM

Not under duress

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:38 AM

No excuse. What you accused me of was b*****

Colin sent Today at 9:38 AM

But that's water under the bridge now so bygones

Stacey replied to participant

Today at 9:38 AM. Original message:

Much better things to do... I'm still holding out that there is genuine ...

Reply by Stacey:

Im happy to do that; waiting for Barry to respond to the PM.

You sent Today at 9:38 AM

you must first be friends to have a PM 1 on 1

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:39 AM

And if you remember the thing that I was most annoyed with was that it was recorded and distributed



1

Colin sent Today at 9:39 AM

In fact I think it set me back which is my own fault but it did



1

You sent Today at 9:39 AM

I didn't feel up 2 it

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:40 AM

No worries Alex you were forgiven

Colin sent Today at 9:40 AM

We all have our moments I was most disappointed with the GCCs ineptness

Colin sent Today at 9:40 AM

and Doug was going to try to write some kind of a manifesto and then he quit because he said it couldn't be done so now it's up to you and me to make a Venn diagram

Colin sent Today at 9:40 AM

Well Doug searches for people's inner shadows

Colin sent Today at 9:40 AM

Don't get me wrong I like Doug I just think there's a lot of b***** that needs to be cleared up as far as context and individual intentions and claims

Barry

Barry sent Today at 9:41 AM

Wise words from a wise woman: «It's a losing battle to argue against ignorance. One has to carefully pick one's fights online because we are surrounded by ignorance, unscientific views, and a worldview that is damaging to human beings at large.»

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:41 AM

I'll excuse Joel because he's new but he also was claiming that everybody's trying to teach me to trust woo

You sent Today at 9:41 AM

forgiveness is not on my radar with a Bully however it is the 1st step to healing the friction between peers

Colin

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

Also for Joel he may have some kind of different take on reality because he literally says he sees things in geometry and I'm fascinated to know if it's like the boy with the amazing brain who saw numbers of shapes

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

Saw numbers as shapes

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

It's one of the most fascinating things I've ever seen and it is evidence that we have no idea what's going on in the minds

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

I'm not ignorant to that fact I'm trying to build Bridges to get us ahead not to solve the universe ... 42

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

There's probably only 10 settings on the mixer board I need to approximate a conversation adapter I'm not trying to write medication

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

And Stacy's going to try at the old-fashioned way hopefully tomorrow between Berry and I

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

And if we want to respect Sam we should probably move over to banter even though this is about coexistence even if it's between spoiled smart people who don't know how to be understood

Colin sent Today at 9:45 AM

I don't even think Doug wants this noise

Stacey

Stacey sent Today at 9:47 AM

If someone could put that thread into a document that I could print, I would love to edit it. Just by removing things,(adding nothing), I think there would be a great conversation to continue. Sorry, but I am a pen and paper girl and it is unlikely I will make the effort unless I have it in print. Its hard and